

Conservation Commission Meeting Minutes

Wednesday, March 12, 2025

Town of Kingston

26 Evergreen Street, Room 200

Kingston, MA 02364

Present: Jim Franklin (Vice-Chairperson), Marilyn Kozodoy, Megan Hickey, Dorothy MacFarlane, Vittorio “Buz” Artiano

Absent: Kalina Vendetti (Chairperson), Brian Payne

Staff: Matt Penella, Conservation Agent

Location: Town Hall Offices, Room 200 & via Zoom

Commission Meeting Opened: 6:47 p.m.

Announcement: The Open Space Committee is seeking a new member. The Open Space Committee assists the Conservation Commission in overseeing the management and use of conservation properties to help better access and utilize these resources in a positive way. This is an opportunity for a resident to assist in implementing the town’s open space plan, help improve parking and trails, and identifying future conservation and passive recreation priorities in the town. Interested residents may inquire by calling the Conservation Office at 781-585-0537, or by e-mail to Assistant Conservation Agent, Julianna Denum at:

jdenum@kingstonma.gov

BUSINESS:

I. Signing Documents

The Commission signed the following documents: bill from Environmental Partners Group, LLC for contracted MS4 support, bill from Beals & Thomas, Inc. for construction oversight on the Sampson’s Brook Headwaters Restoration Project, bill from W.B. Mason for office

supplies, and mileage reimbursement for the Conservation Agent's field visits to Falmouth with the Falmouth Land Manager for several ecological projects.

II. Public Hearings

a) 10 Shore Drive: Notice of Intent (NOI) for the proposed construction of an addition to a single family home with covered porch, drywells for roof runoff, and mitigation plantings within buffer zones to coastal resource areas.

Vice Chairperson Franklin opened the public hearing for 10 Shore Drive for an NOI for an addition to a single family home with covered porch, drywells for roof runoff, and mitigation plantings within buffer zones to several coastal resource areas. Agent Penella reported that there were some recent updates; the decking came out at slightly less than 1/4" with crushed stone beneath, so the existing deck is pervious although it does not meet the Commission's standards that are required when issuing a permit for something that is pervious. The updated proposal now includes two proposed drywells, sized for the 10-year storm. (KWPR typically requires that storm water systems be designed to handle the 100-year storm, but there is no requirement for storm water systems for single-family dwellings. In this case, it is just being offered as a mitigation feature.) This does not include runoff from the front of the house, rather from the ridgeline back, including the addition. There is no test pit data, but the applicant has provided NRCS soil profile characteristics and stated that the soils would be assessed prior to the start of the project. There is a slight expansion to the mitigation planting plan in that the plan says 210 square feet, but the agent thought it appeared to be closer to 220-225 square feet with the addition of a 2" native caliper tree and a native tree to replace the tree that had been removed without a permit. Conservation posts are now shown to protect the mitigation plantings. A variance update was received on March 5th, but the agent let the applicant know that two more variance requests would be needed. The existing deck is pervious and the existing impervious coverage is 29% with an increase to 35% proposed. Commissioner Franklin asked for clarification as to whether or not some decking is going to be removed.

Representative, Chris Sanderson of Merrill Engineers & Land Surveyors, stated that the original plan was to remove the decking for the construction of the addition and then essentially build it back in the same exact location minus the footprint of the decking and that that will be proposed and noted on the plan as composite decking with the adequate 3/8" spacing and crushed stone surface below. Agent Penella said that it is currently pervious in the sense that it does pass water through and the water likely infiltrates. With the decking at 3/8" and documented in a perpetual Order of Conditions on any COCs issued and a plan of record, it would be pervious going forward. The agent continued with the his report stating that the Commission may have questions regarding the benefit of the drywells, given that so many storms now exceed the 10-year threshold. The project passes the standards of the Wetlands Protection Act and the Commission needs to determine whether or not what has been

presented is enough to mitigate a 6% rise in impervious surface bringing it up to 35%. The plantings and the drywell *may* be sufficient to mitigate the increase given that current conditions are far from ideal from a runoff perspective. The Commission could come to a determination through a group discussion, look for a second opinion, or ask for more information. It had been discussed previously that the updated proposal calls for the remaining portion being spaced out to 3/8" which would ensure that it continues to drain and the Commission could make that a perpetual Special Condition on any OOCs issued. The agent also reiterated that the project is landward of a resource area that would be called land containing shellfish, as defined in KWPR and CMR and that the Commission may wish to have that noted on the plan for the record. A lot of properties in the Rocky Nook area are non-compliant, pre-existing and are causing issues with Kingston Bay. Commissioner MacFarlane commented that there is a considerable amount of stone walkway and she asked if that is permeable and if it is not, could some of it be removed or replaced. Mr. Sanderson replied that the walkway is stone pavers with mortar in-between, so it is impervious; however he felt that ripping it out during construction would cause more disturbance than necessary. Mr. Sanderson stated that with the incorporation of two drywells, 600 square feet of runoff from the ridgeline of the house and back will be captured. With these drywells installed, efforts are being made to reduce the overland flow of storm water down to the resource area along with native plantings as a buffer zone. Commissioner MacFarlane questioned if some of the walkway is now superfluous and could be removed after construction. Commissioner Artiano stated that he had asked the same question at a previous meeting and confirmed that the pathway that runs from the driveway and then banks a left along the side of the house actually goes to a door that enters the house, so it is a used walkway and is there for a reason. Commissioner Franklin asked for the square footage of the house. Mr. Sanderson replied that it is 32' wide by 28' deep and with the proposed addition it will be an additional 5' deep, from the ridgeline back 627 square feet for a total of 1,100 square feet. Commissioner Franklin asked what the increase would be on impervious surface and Agent Penella answered that it would be 6%. Commissioner Artiano stated that currently the water sheets across the ground, and so with the addition of two drywells, water will be retained, thus allowing the water to leach into the soil rather than running off the bank into the resource area. With that in mind, Commissioner Artiano saw it as a net improvement and Commissioner Franklin was in agreement. Commissioner Kozodoy asked why the design is only for a ten-year storm. Mr. Sanderson replied that due to the limited space at the back of the property, a couple different sizing methods were evaluated, including the cubic feet of storage in the drywell and how much stone to put in it. He also stated that the pipe will backflow to an overflow downspout with a stone splash pad and that he feels the numbers that the storm water management software calculated are conservative. Mr. Sanderson said that roof runoff is generally considered clean in comparison to runoff from a street for example. Commissioner Franklin stated that since roofs are sometimes treated with

chemicals, roof runoff is not considered clean from a conservation standpoint since it is close to the resource area. Commissioner Artiano asked if the needed variances are in writing assuming the Commission was going to make a movement. Agent Penella replied in the affirmative and said that special conditions to be included are: conservation posts in perpetuity, detailing the allowance for maintenance of the mitigation area, additional stockpile of silt sock to be reviewed as part of the pre-work ESC check (as this often shows up on proposals but rarely actually comes to pass), any dumpster used during construction must remain covered, the decking will be 3/8" spacing with crushed stone beneath, only organic fertilizers may be used, no pesticides or herbicides can be used within 100' buffer zone. Commissioner Artiano asked if when the time comes for a Certificate of Compliance, what growth period should the Commission look for to ensure the mitigation plantings survive. Agent Penella said in some cases, several years of monitoring is necessary, and so something detailing how the applicant can maintain the plantings should be included in the COC and there could also be a continuing condition on the COC that indicates there will be a check made on the plantings in two or three years. Commissioner Kozodoy asked Mr. Sanderson if there was anything else that could be considered from the perspective of mitigation that has not yet been discussed. He replied that the proposed drywells will capture over half of the existing roof runoff plus the addition, and the buffer zone plantings are offering an area equal to the size of the addition. Mr. Sanderson said that they have been consulting with a design build firm and have decided that they will be moving forward with the helical pile construction in place of a larger excavation with poured concrete, so this will minimize impact. Agent Penella expressed concern that there is the possibility that the helical piles can't be drilled to a sufficient depth and then another system would have to be implemented thus requiring an amendment. He suggested that preemptively a note on the plan, or a special condition in writing now, would offset the potential need for an amendment.

VOTE: Commissioner Artiano made a motion to close the public hearing, seconded by Commissioner Kozodoy. The motion PASSED 5-0-0.

VOTE: Commissioner Artiano made a motion to approve the project as designed on the revised plans with the approval of the variances listed, with the standard conditions, the additional conditions as read, and with the understanding that the preference is for helical piles, but if a ledge or difficult conditions are encountered, then the applicant should come back to the Conservation Agent with photographic evidence that the helical piles cannot be used and they can then switch to 8" saw tubes on top of ledge with the concrete with approval from the Agent. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Kozodoy. The motion passed 5-0-0.

b) 2 Braintree Avenue: Notice of Intent (NOI) for additions to a single-family home within the buffer zones to Coastal Resource Areas and within Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage.

Vice Chairperson Franklin reopened the public hearing for 2 Braintree Avenue for an NOI for proposed construction of additions to a single-family home within the buffer zones to several Coastal Resource Areas and within Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage. Nicholas Leing of Grady Consulting, LLC was online to present the plan. There are two proposed additions; one being an infill or an overhang at the rear of the house, and another addition at the front. As an offset to the additions, it is proposed to remove a brick walkway and a portion of the asphalt driveway to keep the impervious surface coverage at the same value. The installation of nine smart vents in the basement is proposed and this is in order to solidify the structure against storm waters. Mitigation plantings of almost 350 square feet have been added to the plan, including the planting of 21 Virginia Rose bushes. Agent Penella pointed out that in the mitigation area, no conservation posts are shown on the plan. Without conservation posts and the detailed special condition of how to maintain the area, it is more of a landscape bed rather than a mitigation area; therefore the Agent recommended conservation posts to line the area. The Agent reported that the formal variance requests had been received and that the request appears to meet the standards of WPA and KWPR, except where the variance is requested. The planting mitigation appears to be sufficient provided that conservation posts are shown and added as a special condition, along with the typical special conditions regarding allowable maintenance of the area. The Agent recommended issuance of OOCs with special conditions, i.e. any dumpster used during the project must be covered, outdoor chemicals and fertilizers must be organic, and conservation posts must be installed. Commissioner Franklin asked Mr. Leing if there had been a discussion about drywells with the homeowner. Mr. Leing replied that he recalled the consensus to be that the soils around Rocky Nook are so variable that mitigation plantings were the favored method. Commissioner Franklin said there had been a conversation at the previous meeting where he had requested Mr. Leing to look into drywells in order for it to show on record as having been considered as a possibility for the site. Mr. Leing said that his notes reflected that Commission's preference at the end of that conversation had been for mitigation. Agent Penella said that because in this case the impervious surface is not increasing, then the mitigation planting is probably sufficient. On a site visit, the Agent recommended to the homeowner to plant a tree in the front yard in place of the large tree that had been removed a couple years ago. Commissioner Franklin asked about the condition and type of the existing foundation. Mr. Leing said that it is cinder block and mortar with a crawlspace and the footing is filled in with dirt/sand with roughly 2.5" of free space above the dirt. Commissioner Artiano recalled that there had been an issue with water on the property and he inquired what the outcome was on that. Agent Penella said the water issue had been during the time of a previous owner and that the previous owner had reported that the Highway Dept. had done

some minor work on the road, and since then the flooding issue has been resolved. Commissioner Artiano agreed 100% that conservation posts are needed, mitigation plantings and the planting of a tree (a substantial 4" caliper tree) by the shed so it does not block the view of the Bay are all good measures. He felt that the proposed plan is straightforward. Commissioner Kozodoy and Commissioner Franklin voiced their opinions that they would like to explore further the issue of the downspout. With regard to the drywells, Commissioner Franklin said the Commission needs to decide where the drywells will go. Commissioner Artiano thought the street side would be the better place for the drywells, as the ground there will already be disturbed with construction, and there is more room than at the back side of the house. Agent Penella displayed photos of the house showing where the current downspout is located. Commissioner Franklin said that if that is where a hole is going to be dug for the addition, then realistically they should be able to pick up the front gutter without too much work and he would like to see the adjacent gutter section picked up as well. Commissioner Kozodoy agreed. Commissioner Artiano recommended that the Commission request that the plan be revised to include conservation posts every 25', the street side addition of a drywell that can take in as many downspouts as possible in the area and can be designed using the GIS soil conditions for the ten year storm, a 4" caliper tree that is native to coastal Southeastern Massachusetts be planted in the front yard. Mr. Leing re-capped the requirements as follows: 4" tree in the front yard, drywell sized for a ten year storm, conservation posts every 25', mitigation plantings as already shown on the proposed plan are to remain.

VOTE: Commissioner Artiano made a motion to continue to March 26, 2025 Public Hearing, Section D. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Kozodoy. PASSED 5-0-0.

III. Action Items

a) 18 Old Mill Road: Request for Certificate of Compliance (RCOC) (SE 037-0653 and 0772). Request for a continuance to April 9, 2025.

Vice Chairperson Franklin opened a public meeting to discuss the Request for a Certificate of Compliance (RCOC) for 18 Old Mill Road, combining two requests together under SE 037-0653 and SE 037-0772. The applicant has requested a continuance to April 9, 2025. Agent Penella said that he had updated the Commission before the applicant filed the two requests, and then they realized that one of the properties was not in compliance with the original Orders of Conditions which were older. The applicant was looking into getting a contractor to get the work done. The Agent recommended giving the applicant until April 9th.

VOTE: Commissioner Artiano made a motion to continue to April 9, 2025. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Kozodoy. PASSED 5-0-0.

b) Ring Road Solar, LLC (126 and 131-137 Ring Road; SE 037-0889 and 0890) : Request for Amendment/Deviation for security fencing with wildlife passage included.

Vice Chairperson Franklin opened a public meeting to discuss a Request for Amendment or Deviation for 126 and 131-137 Ring Road; SE 037-0889 and SE 037- 0890, submitted by Beals & Thomas on behalf of Ring Solar, LLC.

Jess Roden from Greenbacker Capital summarized that the proposed project is for perimeter security fencing on Ring Road, approximately 2,700 linear feet of fence in Kingston. The project encroaches on the wetland buffer in certain areas, the closest spot being 2' from the edge of the wetland. A wood-post shag style woven mesh fence with a 6" wildlife gap is proposed, with gates in strategic locations to allow access to the site. The installation method would be no disturbance with no drilling required and no ground or vegetation disturbance expected. Agent Penella reported that the Ring Road Solar project had first been permitted in 2019 or 2020 and now that the project is mostly built, occupancy has not been granted from the two town's Building Departments citing the lack of a perimeter security fence. The Agent said that from a permitting standpoint, (the amendment procedure was passed out to the Commission) the amendment procedure would begin with a discussion at a public meeting. Considering the way Wetlands Protection Act (WPA) works, the Agent's recommendation is always to not issue minor modifications. This request falls under an exemption of the WPA that does not exist for Kingston Wetlands Protection Regulations (KWPR) and the Commission has the ability to decide that what is proposed is minor enough to be conducted through administrative review. Agent Penella also had questions about the method of installation, what types of pressure treated wood (PT) would be used, and if the State has any guidance in the SMART program regulations that would shed light on this project. If the SMART program has a regulation that allows for perimeter fencing to count as agriculture, when there is dual use of solar and cranberry installation, then that would most likely exempt review under KWPR. The Agent read from KWPR 5.19 Land, Forestry and Agriculture which states:

"Land in Forestry and Agriculture Work performed for normal maintenance or improvement of land in agricultural use, as defined herein, or work performed under an approved forest cutting plan on land in forestry, as defined herein, shall be exempt from filing requirements provided that written notice has been given to the Kingston Conservation Commission prior to commencement of work. The work must also conforms to definitions, performance standards, and design specifications as set forth in 310 CMR 10.04 and 10.53 and conform to the definitions in these Regulations, or as they may be amended."

With this in mind, the Agent's ultimate recommendation is that the applicant investigates the SMART program regulations or state that they have already done so and it is or is not

applicable. At which point, the Commission should determine whether or not the changes proposed are minor enough to allow modification approval by administration or whether the changes are appropriate to hear as an amendment request at a future public hearing; keeping in mind the standard that amendments must not be more detrimental to resource areas than the approved plan. Mr. Roden addressed the question on the posts and said that they are proposed to be PT, including the gate posts, and that the materials have not yet been procured, so they are open to discussing any specifications on materials that the Commission may have. Mr. Roden stated that so far in their initial research, there does not appear to be a provision in the SMART program regulations for the installation of a fence as part of agricultural operations, but they will do deeper research on that. Commissioner Franklin said that since the proposed fencing goes very close to wetlands, he'd like a clearer understanding of the topography. He inquired if it is grassland, an agricultural area, or is it off the road, etc. and if so what is the reason for the fencing to be so far from the interior of the site; if it is for example just cranberry bogs, access roads, and solar panels. Mr. Roden replied that the location of the proposed fence allows for the farming operations of the bogs, and to have it installed closer to or even on the bog, would be impractical for harvesting. The proposed location is as close to the bogs that they could get and still allow for the harvesting. Commissioner Artiano asked if it is an elevated solar panel system and Mr. Roden replied that it is since the panel arrays are 10' above the cranberry bogs to allow for farming activities underneath. Commissioner Artiano asked if it was after the project was completed that the applicant was then told a fence was needed. Mr. Roden replied that yes, that is the case. The project was fully permitted by both Kingston and Plympton for the building and electrical work. It was approved by the Conservation Commissions and Planning Boards and it was constructed based upon those approvals, and then it was *after* the construction that the Building Department (this was the Inspector from Plympton, who is also an alternate Inspector for Kingston) came back and said that a perimeter fence was required. Mr. Roden said the Inspector would not sign off on the project based on his interpretation of the electric code that refers to access to the project by qualified persons only. The applicant believed that it was inaccessible to the public, given the fencing and gates that are already installed in the areas where there would be potential access. Commissioner Franklin said that no doubt the switchgear, inverters, line voltage and anything that is dangerous would ultimately be inside a fenced structure. He said that it appears that this request is to enclose the *entire* property, under the premise that it is accessible to the public, when in fact anyone can walk through a gate. His concern is the proposed pressure treated fencing being used in this quantity so close to wetlands, and asked for confirmation that the inverters etc. are within a fenced structure. Mr. Roden said that the equipment pads *are* fenced in. However, he believes that the Electrical Inspector took exception to the fact there are conductors on the back side of the 8-10' elevated

arrays. Mr. Roden pointed out that these are touch-safe and fully insulated conductors and that a ladder would be needed to reach them. Commissioner Artiano said that before the Commission makes any decisions, he would like to see the contractor reach out to the State and ask the State Inspector for his interpretation, in writing. Commissioner Franklin agreed that consulting with the State is the way to go in order to confirm that this is a valid request on the part of the local inspector. Commissioner Artiano asked how the panels are fastened to the bog. Mr. Roden replied that they are driven posts with galvanized steel. Commissioner Artiano said that if the fence is approved and installed, he would rather see galvanized steel posts than pressure treated wood. Mr. Roden asked about the possibility of using untreated posts instead and Commissioner Artiano said the concern would be the longevity of using untreated posts. Commissioner Franklin raised the question as to whether or not a 6" wildlife gap is sufficient. Agent Penella said that a 6" wildlife passage is the typical WPA standard. Commissioner Artiano asked Mr. Roden if two weeks was a sufficient amount of time to check with the State Inspector and that the Commission will need the inspector's statement in writing, including his signature and the reference code that is being used in this case and Mr. Roden thought that it would be enough time.

VOTE: Commissioner Artiano made a motion to continue to March 26, 2025. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Kozodoy. PASSED 5-0-0.

IV. Enforcement

Agent Penella asked the Commission to give a 30-day reprieve to the applicant of 44 Raboth Road due to an ongoing medical issue. The Commission agreed as a group to the reprieve, a motion was not required.

V. Updates

a) 2025 Eversource VMP Update

Annually Eversource supplies a detail of the locations in the town where they will be managing vegetation on their right-of-ways. This report is on file and any member of the public or the Commission may reach out to request the report.

b) Blackwater and Sampson's Headwaters Restoration Project and various grants

The in-water restoration work is wrapped up and the sediment barrier in the stream will be pulled by Friday (3/14/25). The plan now is to assess the condition of where the pre-existing trail was, and come up with a plan to determine how much hard-pack is needed. The trail should be situated in April and work is being done to determine how to construct the bridges, replacing where the water control structures had been. There is a possibility of

attaining some gangways retired from various harbor masters that meet the very basic width requirements of ADA.

A site visit was made to the Maple Street dam removal for the grant that was received for a feasibility study.

CLOSING REMARKS

Vice Chairperson Franklin made the closing remarks at 8:10 p.m. The next meeting will be held on March 26, 2025 starting at 6:30 p.m. in Room

VOTE: Commissioner Artiano made the motion to adjourn, seconded by Commissioner Kozodoy. PASSED 5-0-0.

Note on Voting Format: In the vote tallies mentioned in these minutes, the format used is "Yes-No-Abstain".

Prepared by: Hannele Minsk, Temporary assistant transcriber.



KINGSTON CONSERVATION COMMISSION



26 Evergreen Street, Kingston, MA 02364

SIGN-IN SHEET

PLEASE NOTE THIS MEETING IS BEING VIDEO & AUDIO RECORDED

DATE: March 12, 2025

NAME	INTEREST	ADDRESS
Marian McCarthy		10 Shore Dr.
Aileen McCarthy	family	
Dan McCarthy	family	
CHRIS SANDERSON	ENGINEER/REP ^{10 SHORE}	10 SHORE DR.



TOWN OF KINGSTON **NOTICE OF MEETING**

BOARD:	Conservation Commission
DATE & TIME:	Wednesday, March 12, 2025 @ 6:30 PM
MEETING LOCATION:	Town House-26 Evergreen St. Room 200 & VIA ZOOM
AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE:	Matt Penella, Conservation Agent

This meeting notice is being posted on the Official Town House Bulletin Board, pursuant to General Law Chapter 30A, Section 20. Said notice and agenda must be filed in the Office of the Town Clerk at least **48 HOURS** prior to such meeting. Such filing and posting shall be the responsibility of the officer calling such meeting.

For those who want to watch this meeting, it is being broadcast by the Local Seen on Comcast 9 or Verizon 42 and streamed on YouTube at www.youtube.com/@kingstonmeetingsLS

For anyone wishing to speak during the meeting, you are welcome to join us in person in room 200 or to join the Zoom call.

This meeting is being recorded by the Local Seen. Anyone intending to make an audio or video recording of this meeting should notify the Chair.

AGENDA

6:30 PM – CALL TO ORDER – ROOM 200

SIGNING DOCUMENTS:

1. Bills from Environmental Partners Group, LLC for contracted MS4 support, Beals & Thomas, Inc. for construction oversight on the Sampson's Brook Headwaters Restoration Project, and WB Mason for office supplies.
2. Mileage for the Conservation Agent's field visits in Falmouth with the Falmouth Land Manager (several ecological restoration projects).

ACTION ITEMS:

1. Request for Certificate of Compliance: 18 Old Mill Road (SE 037-0653 and 0772): Applicant has requested continuance to April 9, 2025.
2. Request for Amendment/Deviation: Ring Road Solar, LLC (126 and 131-137 Ring Road; SE 037-0889 and 0890)- Security fencing with wildlife passage included.

ENFORCEMENT:

MEETING MINUTES:

UPDATES:

1. 2025 Eversource VMP update
2. Agent updates on ongoing projects and grants

PUBLIC HEARINGS:

1. 10 Shore Drive: Notice of Intent: Addition to SFH, with covered porch, drywells for roof runoff, and mitigation plantings
2. 2 Braintree Avenue: Notice of Intent: Additions to a SFH within the Buffer Zones to Coastal Resource Areas and within Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage.

ADJOURN:

NEXT REGULAR MEETING:

1. March 26, 2025

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://pactv.zoom.us/j/96816332793?pwd=WmZRUWhTc0dsWUxrNGhSSjBNdDFldz09>

Meeting ID: 968 1633 2793

Passcode: 756156

Or, dial by your location

+1 929 205 6099 US (New York)

This meeting of the Conservation Commission will be held in-person at the location provided on this notice. Members of the public are welcome to attend this in-person meeting or remotely. All Town Board and Commission meetings are required to provide remote participation. If there is a technical problem and remote participation cannot be provided, the meeting will end and be rescheduled.

The Town of Kingston advises its employees and the public that it does not discriminate based on a person's disability in employment or in access to its programs, services, and activities. This meeting location is accessible to people with disabilities. The Town of Kingston has designated Paul Armstrong to coordinate efforts to comply with the requirements of Executive Order 526, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the federal Rehabilitation Act and various other federal and state laws protecting the rights of people with disabilities.

If you have a disability and require a reasonable accommodation to fully participate in this event, please contact the ADA Coordinator no later than forty-eight (48) hours prior to the event by phone at 781-585-0505 or email parmstrong@kingstonma.gov to discuss your accessibility needs. Requests for accommodations or modifications made within the forty-eight (48) hour window will be honored to the maximum extent feasible, but it may not be possible to fulfill them.